

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**



In re Patent Application of                      Allowed: May 4, 2006  
OKADA et al.                                  Atty. Ref.: 723-1221; Confirmation No. 7266  
Appl. No. 09/994,943                        Group: 2628  
Filed: November 28, 2001                    Examiner: HARRISON, Chante E.  
For: IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND DISPLAY CONTROL METHOD

\* \* \* \* \*

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 22, 2006

Sir:

**COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF  
REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Applicants traverse the reasons for allowance to the extent these reasons are construed to suggest that the claim limitations emphasized therein are the only claim limitations missing from the prior art of record. In addition, to the extent the Examiner's reasons for allowance are (or are construed to be) inconsistent with, add or omit claim limitations, Applicants state that it is the claims that define the invention. In particular, the reasons for allowance are not commensurate with the scope of the claims. By way of example without limitation, the comments incorrectly suggest that all of the independent claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 14, 26 and 30 relate to communication and game related data. However, this is not the case because at least claims 1, 9, 10 and 11 are not limited in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By:



Michael J. Shea  
Reg. No. 34,725

MJS:mjs  
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22203-1808  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100